SUBMISSION 124

Name (Please use your full name)
Allan Kelly
Postal Address
1368 Akatarawa Road RD2
Telephone number
045266962
Email address
kanonz@xtra.co.nz
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission
No
The specific provisions of the proposed Plan Change that my submission relates to are as follows
Most concerned about water supply requirement
My submission is that
I fully endorse the attached comments. In particular the water storage requirement and/or a sprinkler system. We had a 25000 litre tank installed about 15-20 years ago. I'm pretty sure it has never been less than 90% full. There is no justification whatsoever that we should be forced to install additional water storage. It's almost akin to forcing us to take out house and/or fire insurance.
I seek the following decision from the local authority
To accept and implement the changes proposed on the attachment
Please indicate whether you wish to be heard in support of your
submission (tick appropriate box)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.
please indicate whether you wish to make a joint case at the hearing if others make a similar submission (tick appropriate box)
I do not wish to make a joint case.
If your submission is over 500 words, please upload a word document with your submission. Please provide the questions as your headers before each paragraph.
https://s3-ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-australia/a7abd67953b15af247837d571706cfb7b73f550b/original/1700092842/66ec770a8e9b39fbd2b368885ca854f3_231114a_PC50_UHCC.docx*1700092842

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- 1. It is frivolous or vexatious
- 2. It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- 3. It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- 4. It contains offensive language
- 5. It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

I have found the documentation unclear and confusing, a public meeting with clear messaging and ramifications for rate payers should be set up.

PC 50 Consultation

Please note that a number of the comments below also apply to other rural zones in this document.

They should be considered in this context.

Number	Reference	Comments
1	Page 3, "Building	Given the functionality of caravans, they should also be excluded
	NPS"	from this definition.
2	Page 4,	The current definition could include a private family meeting.
	"Conference	The defining element is the commercial nature of these
	facilities"	activities.
		Suggest the insertion of "commercial" in appropriate places.
3	Page 14, "Minor	The current text is thoroughly confusing and unclear. This must
	structures"	be revised and consulted upon again.
4	Page 30, TP-S9	Object as it stands.
		There must be specific exclusions to the vehicle movement
		limitations stated in this section.
		For example, construction activities, commercial activities
		(forestry, infrastructure provision) and, most importantly,
		Staglands with its associated economic benefits to the region,
		should all be activities excluded from TP-S9.
5	Pages 33 to 42	Diagram titles are orphaned – need to change format.
6	Page 45, SUB-RUR-	- typo, "stormwaterand"
	P5	- The last section "provides sufficient water supply for firefighting
		purposes" – should be deleted (see the relevant point below,
		Number 10)
7	Page 73, GRUZ –	Object as it stands. Reinstate former definition.
	General Rural Zone,	
	Background	Because the suggested definition immediately focusses on
		primary production, there is now a risk that "other activities that
		require a rural location" are not fully recognising the range and
		value of the activities deleted from the former / current version.
0	D 70 CDUZ DO	These (the deleted section) must be reinstated.
8	Page 78, GRUZ-P9	Staglands is of – at least – regional economic benefit. Wording
		needs to be changed from "Recognise local economic benefits"
		to "Recognise <u>regional</u> economic benefits".
9	Page 83, GRUZ-S2	Object.
		The new drafting effectively could sterilise any new building / the
		building platform in forested land, e.g. you cannot place a
		building within a forest as you cannot build 10m away from the
		forest.
		It is presumed that the intent is to have adequate clear space
		around buildings for the purpose of wildfire protection.
		The state of the s
		Therefore, the definition needs to be:

- "up to" 10m, i.e. there is wiggle room around the distance to a "forest" as it may not be possible to achieve this distance; and
- the other way around, i.e. when a building is being built, that there needs to be a clear space of 10m to any forest. This would be managed by means of existing resource consent processes.

10 Page 86, GRUZ-S14

This feedback also applies to RPROZ-S12 and RLZ-S11.

We object outright to the compulsion for sprinklers and associated firefighting water supplies.

Refer submission from George Hare, 1975 Akatarawa Road for details (please see below):

GRUZ-S14, RPROZ-S12 and RLZ-S11 will require each residential unit not connected to the council's reticulated water supply to have a potable water supply of at least 38,000L. I currently have a 15,000L tank that has never run out in the time I have owned the property, nor in the memory of the previous owner. I would be required to add a further 25,000L tank, at a cost of \$3-4k plus delivery and installation. An enquiry to a local supplier suggests that 13,000L of fresh water delivered costs me \$400 and at no cost to the council, so given I haven't required my tank to be filled currently in 7 years, the return on investment would take somewhere around 100 years to recoup.

The same clauses also require an NZS 4541:2013 sprinkler system to be installed along with a PAS 4509:2008 compliant water supply. The current version NZS 4541 is 2020, not 2013. NZS 4541 is primarily a commercial fire sprinkler standard and does not contain a domestic hazard class. The only residential hazard classes identified are boarding houses, hospitals, hotels (residential portion), motels (residential portion), prisons, residential clubs and youth hostels. These are all classed as Extra Low Hazard (ELH). ELH requires a flow rate of 375L/min and sufficient stored water to supply the system for 60 minutes. This is an additional 22,500L of water required. PAS 4509 also requires a further 7,000L of firefighting water for domestic nonreticulated water supply, within 90m of the building, making a total additional fire fighting water supply of at least 29,500L. An NZS 4541 sprinkler system would also require separate tanks, pumps, valve gear, flow switches, etc. as well as bi-annual inspections. Maintenance can only be carried out by approved sprinkler installers and the system would have to be designed and installed by an approved sprinkler system installer and certified by the sprinkler system certifier. The cost of such a system is likely to run to many \$10,000's even for a new build where is could be installed during construction. A retrofit would

		cost \$10,000's more to replace ceiling linings and redecorate in order to run the pipework.
11	Page 87, GRUZ-S15	Item 2 is impossible to meet and therefore must be deleted. For Staglands to operate, they must bring external resources to
		site.
12	Page 87, GRUZ-R18	As previously mentioned, Staglands is an "economic asset" for the Upper Hutt district. Not only does it provide local employment, it attracts "out of towners" to the area who can also visit other local attractions and raise the appeal of Upper Hutt.
		This section will tend to constrain the value generation of Staglands by means of the traffic management demands.
		In general, the continued organic growth of Staglands should be enabled not constrained because: - the magnitude of economic benefits will increase - any improvements to roading will also improve a "east-west" strategic route (Upper Hutt to Waikanae).